



**MINISTRY OF MINES AND ENERGY  
BID EVALUATION COMMITTEE (BEC)**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT  
[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]**

**PROJECT TITLE:** Peer Review for the Petroleum Legislation (Acts, Regulations and Petroleum Agreement)

**REFERENCE NUMBER OF PROCUREMENT:** SC/RP/15-03/24/25

**Scope of Contract:** Peer Review for the Petroleum Legislation (Acts, Regulations and Petroleum Agreement)

**Procurement method used:** Request for Proposal (RP)

**Date of Bids Invitation:** 08 August 2024

**Closing date of bids submission:** 30 August 2024

**Number of bids received by closing date:** Three (3)

**Responsiveness of bids:** One (1)

Summary of bidder's responsiveness

Complete Name and Address of the Seat of the Bidder	RESPONSIVE OR NON-RESPONSIVE	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
Jauch Incorporated	Responsive	N/A
Akutu Drafting and Training Masters cc	Non - Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder did not attach a master's degree in Oil and Gas Law as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 54)</b></li> <li>• Company did not attach registered company registration as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 54)</b></li> <li>• Bidder did not attach a certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 54)</b></li> <li>• Labor undertaking not completed and signed as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 54)</b></li> <li>• Bidder did not initial from page 53-57 of the bidding document as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 55)</b></li> </ul>
Cliffe Dekker Horneymr Inc	Non - Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder is not Namibian and has no Namibian Consultancy and/or law firm as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 55)</b></li> <li>• Bidder did not submit a valid original or copy of a good standing Tax certificate as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 55)</b></li> <li>• Bidder did not submit a valid original or copy of a good standing Social security certificate as per eligibility criteria <b>(page 55)</b></li> </ul>

**Price comparison for responsive bids:**

Company Name	Technical Score	Highest Technical Score	70% Score	100	100	Weighed Technical Score %	59.5	3,289,000.00	30	3,289,000.00	30	3,289,000.00	30	30	90	8	263120.00	3,025,880.00	1
	Technical Score	Highest Technical Score	70% Score	100	100	Weighed Technical Score %	59.5	Stated Bid Price (N\$)	30%	Lowest Bid Price	30%	Lowest Bid Price	30%	Weighted Price	Overall Score	Margin of preference score %	Margin of preference amount to be deducted from stated bid price	Price after Margin of preference	Rank
Jauch Incorporated	85	100	100	100	100	59.5	3,289,000.00	3,289,000.00	30	3,289,000.00	30	3,289,000.00	30	30	90	8	263120.00	3,025,880.00	1

**Best evaluated bid:**

The bid evaluation committee, in terms of section 52 (13) read in conjunction with section 52 (9) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act 15 of 2015), after the examination and evaluation of the bids for BID No. **SC/RP/15-03/24/25**, identified and recommended the bid by **Jauch Nuashanu Naunyango Incorporated** as the lowest evaluated and substantially responsive bid at a total amount of **N\$ 3,289,000.00** (Three Million Two Hundred and Eighty Nine Thousand Namibian Dollars) to be awarded.

